

Form 18
Rule 8.11(2)

**Notice of a Constitutional matter
under section 78B of the Judiciary Act 1903**

No. VID of 2024
Lodgement ID 1323946, 24.5.24

Federal Court of Australia

District Registry: Victoria

Division: Human Rights / Other Federal Jurisdiction

Uncle Robbie Thorpe
PROPOSED Applicant

The Honourable Mark Dreyfus
PROPOSED Respondent

The proposed applicant gives notice that the proposed proceeding involves a matter arising under the Constitution or involving its interpretation within the meaning of section 78B of the *Judiciary Act 1903*.

Nature of Constitutional matter

1. Whether your Constitution protects Our Aboriginal campsites at Aboriginal remains from desecration by non-Aboriginal groups or individuals.
2. Whether your Constitution protects Aboriginal fires at Our Aboriginal campsites at Aboriginal remains from extinguishment by non-Aboriginal groups or individuals.
3. Whether your Constitution requires that every non-Aboriginal court provide immediate and effective protection for, and preservation of, Our Aboriginal fires at Our Aboriginal campsites at Aboriginal remains.
4. Whether your Constitution allows non-Aboriginal groups and individuals to desecrate Our Aboriginal campsites (including the extinguishment of Our Aboriginal fires) at Aboriginal remains with impunity and/or with immunity from immediate and effective court orders.
5. Whether your Constitution imposes a fiduciary obligation upon every judge to stop and prevent
 - (i) the desecration of Our Aboriginal campsites (including the extinguishment of Aboriginal fires) at Aboriginal remains;
 - (ii) the theft of any items at Our Aboriginal campsites at Aboriginal remains;
 - (iii) the theft of any of Our Aboriginal Land;
 - (iv) the usurpation of any Aboriginal Law.

Filed on behalf of Uncle Robbie Thorpe, prospective applicant.

Prepared by Uncle Robbie Thorpe.

Mobile 0422 200 696

Email bunjilfire@gmail.com

Address for service: Camp Sovereignty, "Kings Domain", Melbourne VIC 3004

6. Whether your Mabo decision that no court can inquire into how “Australia” got sovereignty and jurisdiction over We Aborigines is inconsistent with your legislation in Division 268 of the Criminal Code which criminalises acts of genocide with intent to destroy Us in whole or in part.
 7. Whether your denial of Our Aboriginal Sovereignty is proof of your intent to destroy us in whole or in part within the meaning of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 2000 and the Genocide Convention 1948 and your Genocide Convention Act 1949.
 8. Whether the sole basis for your theft of Our Lands and your usurpation of Our Law under your Constitution is your continuing acts of genocide (as defined in the three instruments in paragraph 7 above) against Us.
 9. In the absence of any treaty evidencing our free, informed prior consent, whether every bit of land in the entire continent is Aboriginal Land and Our Aboriginal Law applies to all residents here.
 10. Whether your deliberate premeditated failure and refusal to negotiate for our consent in a treaty is itself an act of genocide and also proof of your intent to destroy Us Aborigines in whole or in part contrary to the three instruments in paragraph 7 above and also Division 268 of your own Criminal Code.
 11. Whether your requirement that one Mark Dreyfus, a non-Aboriginal individual, is the only person who can bring prosecutions for Aboriginal genocide is itself an act of genocide and also proof of your intent to destroy Us Aborigines in whole or in part contrary to the three instruments in paragraph 7 above and also Division 268 of your own Criminal Code.
 12. Whether it is implicit in your Constitution that no legislation can be valid that allows legitimises attempts incites conspires aids abets Aboriginal genocide.
-

Facts showing that the matter is one to which section 78B of the Judiciary Act applies.

PART A. AGREED STATEMENT OF FACTS

– SUMMARY OF THE NECESSARY FACTUAL BACKGROUND TO UNDERSTANDING THE PRESENT MATTER.

1. There is no document evidencing the free, voluntary, prior, informed CONSENT of any of Us Aboriginal Peoples to the jurisdiction of your colonies, States, Territories, Australia, and municipal and local government entities at any level.
2. There is no document evidencing the formal END TO HOSTILITIES (such hostilities including direct and indirect forced assimilation, denial of Our Sovereignty and other demonstrable objective proof of your intent to destroy Us as Aboriginal Peoples) by any of

you non-Aboriginal people or entities of the colonies, States, Territories, Australia and municipal and local government.

3. There is no document evidencing the free, voluntary, prior, informed CONSENT of any of Us Aboriginal Peoples to the **occupation of Our Lands** or the **usurpation of Our Law** by any non-Aboriginal people or entities of your colonies, States, Territories, Australia, and municipal and local government entities at any level.

4. There is undisputed evidence of the **invasion and occupation** by official military force (including bio warfare, war crimes and continuing acts of genocide and coercive control) **of each and all of Our Lands** and **and usurpation** by official military force (including bio warfare, war crimes and continuing acts of genocide and coercive control) **of Our Law**.

5. It is only since 2002 that there exists a document, being “legislation” “enacted” by your “national parliament” in 2002, that at last makes such genocidal acts punishable (life imprisonment) and specifically grants jurisdiction to the International Criminal Court where you are demonstrably unwilling and unable to investigate and prosecute such offences.

6. There is no document requiring any non-Aboriginal people or entities of your colonies, States, Territories, Australia, and municipal and local government entities at any level **to prevent genocide** against Us Aboriginal Peoples.

7. There is no document evidencing the investigation and prosecution of **any non-Aboriginal person** for genocide against Us Aboriginal Peoples.

8. There are only two groups of people in your Constitution— the **special group** of the so-called Royal Family, members by birth of the British monarchy institution, and the **general group** of everyone else here.

9. Your Constitution omits any specific mention of the **third group** here, Us Aboriginal Peoples, and there is no document evidencing the the free, voluntary, prior, informed CONSENT of any of Us Aboriginal Peoples **to your Constitution**.

10. There is no document evidencing any **application to the International Court of Justice by “Australia” for an Advisory Opinion** as to whether, in the absence of an internationally-supervised treaty process, **We Aboriginal Peoples are the Owners of every bit of land here and Our Law applies to every person here**.

11. There is a document, being “legislation” of your “national parliament”, which requires “notice of a matter arising under the Constitution or involving its interpretation within the meaning of section 78B of the *Judiciary Act 1903* to be given to the Attorney-Generals of Australia and the States”.

PART B. THE RAIDING PARTY

12. On Friday 10 May 2024 there was a raid upon Camp Sovereignty by agents of a local government entity, “the Melbourne City Council”,

13. On Sunday 12 May 2024 Uncle Robbie Thorpe sought an urgent order in the Federal Court of Australia against the local Mayor and Councillors to preserve Our camp and to restrain non-Aboriginal people from entering upon and destroying Our fire and Our general camp near the resting place of 38 skeletal remains of unknown Aboriginal Peoples recovered from Victorian museums and other institutions.

14. The raiding party echoed settler-colonial practices: a quasi-military unit (the Council's security team) of non-Aboriginal men unlawfully and premeditatedly and without any notice or provocation desecrating the camp and clearing the site and stealing/removing everything there—

- (v) causing serious mental harm to Us with the intent to destroy Us in whole or in part— being a long-acknowledged act of genocide under your law as well as a grave breach of Our Law.
- (vi) causing serious consequences to Us under Our Law for Our failure to fulfil Our solemn obligations under Our Law to care for country with proper observances, business and healing ceremony;
- (vii) causing serious and irreversible consequences to the health, spirit and well-being of (a) the said respondents and unit themselves and (b) their families (past, present and future) and (c) their communities and (d) to all non-Aboriginal people resident on the continent for this grave crime against Our Law, the Sovereign non-negotiable Law of this land that applies to every one and every thing here and ignorance of The Law is no excuse.

PART C. THE FIRST CONSTITUTIONAL NOTICE

15. On Thursday 17 May 2024 Uncle Robbie Thorpe filed and served by email a notice of a Constitutional Matter (with twelve Constitutional questions identical to the current one set out above) on The Honourable Mark Dreyfus in proceedings in the Federal Court of Australia numbered VID388/2024 filed on either Sunday 12 May 2024 or Monday 13 May 2024 (Registry record unclear) in which Uncle Robbie Thorpe was the so-called prospective applicant in an urgent application before the start of a proceeding (as described in paragraph 13 above).

16. The said Constitutional notice was also served simultaneously by the same email upon The Honourable Jaclyn Symes MP, the “Attorney-General“ of the entity “Victoria” (and more formally as “The State of Victoria”) and every other “Attorney-General” of the “States and Territories” of Australia.

17. An affidavit of service was filed on Monday 20 May 2024 pursuant to an undertaking to The Honourable Justice Wheelahan made at the hearing of the said urgent application on Friday 17 May 2024.

18. After proper negotiations began with the prospective respondents, Uncle Robbie Thorpe abandoned the proposed legal proceedings and representatives of the Councillors (Cr Dr Olivia Ball, Deputy Portfolio Head for Aboriginal Melbourne to Lord Mayor Sally Capp) and Executive Leadership Team (Kirsty Pearce, Chief of Staff to the CEL Alison Leighton) ended up at the fire at Camp Sovereignty on Tuesday 21 May 2024 in a healing

smoking ceremony to begin the peace process in good faith and journey onward together.

19. The Honourable Mark Dreyfus did not appear in person at the hearing on Friday 17 May 2024 nor did he send any representative, legal or otherwise, to attend the hearing. Similarly The Honourable Jaclyn Symes neither appeared in person nor by counsel.

20. The Premier of the State of Victoria, the Honourable Jacinta Allan MP, and the Minister for Treaty and First People of the State of Victoria, the Honourable Natalie Hutchins MP, were represented by the Victorian Government Solicitor's Office (special counsel Sarah McKellar-White and Jessica Cleaver back in the office; solicitor Amanda Kearney, barristers Laura Hilly and Peter Willis SC at the bar table) but they entered no appearance for The State of Victoria.

21. None of the Attorneys-General of the States and Territories appeared or were represented. However the Assistant Solicitor-General of Tasmania, Jenny Rudolf, emailed a letter at 2.30pm on 16 May 2024 stating "The Attorney-General does not wish to intervene in the proceedings". And the Australian Government emailed a letter (signed by Illegible Scrawl) on 16 May 2024 at about 5pm stating that ""We act for the Commonwealth Attorney-General in relation to your notice pursuant to s 78B of the Judiciary Act 1903 of a constitutional issue ... adequate notice has not been given to the Commonwealth Attorney-General to consider the issues raised in your s78B notice, as required by s 78B... while the Commonwealth Attorney-General will seek to deal with the notice expeditiously, that will not be before the hearing date tomorrow". On 22 May 2024 the Solicitor-General of the Northern Territory, Nikolai Christrup SC, emailed a letter stating: "I advise that the Attorney-General does not intend to intervene on behalf of the Northern Territory".

PART D. DECLARATORY ORDERS NEEDED TO CLARIFY THE RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER THE CONSTITUTION BETWEEN PARTIES AND THOSE DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE CURRENT SITUATION.

22. On Tuesday 24 May 2024 Uncle Robbie Thorpe wrote to Australian Federal Police Commissioner Reece Kershaw and Australia's Director of Public Prosecutions Kings Counsel Raelene Sharp copy to Australia's Attorney-General Mark Dreyfus requesting the investigation and prosecution for genocide of Melbourne City Council's Security Director and Executive Leadership Team.

23. Should those three officials decline to investigate and prosecute, Uncle Robbie Thorpe proposes to seek judicial review of their decision-making process which will necessarily involve Constitutional Matters. Ultimately the matter may require a brief of evidence from Uncle Robbie Thorpe and Camp Sovereignty to the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court on the basis that "Australia" is unwilling and unable to investigate and prosecute genocide crimes against Us Aboriginal Peoples.

24. On Friday 20 October 2023 Uncle Robbie Thorpe (authorised by his Elder Aunty Alma Thorpe) delivered a Charge-Sheet and Summons to the Magistrates' Court of Victoria ("MCV") for filing and issue against the accused, Charles Windsor, for genocide offences.

25. On 29 December 2023 a Registrar of the said court declined to file the Charge-Sheet and judicial review proceedings were commenced on 7 March 2024 and a trial is currently listed for 19 July 2024 before The Honourable Justice Melinda Richards in Thorpe v MCV and Attorney-General of Victoria (“AGV”), SECI2024/01011.

26. On Anzac Day, 25 April 2024, Aunty Alma Thorpe and Uncle Robbie Thorpe lodged a Writ in the Supreme Court of Victoria seeking declaratory orders against The State of Victoria:

1. A declaration that there is no internationally-supervised agreement between First Peoples and the defendant whereby First Peoples gave free, informed prior consent without coercion or manipulation to the occupation of Our Lands and usurpation of Our Law by the defendant.
2. A declaration that the defendant conducted a premeditated calculated undeclared war of invasion against First Peoples, Our Lands and Our Laws and that the war involved atrocities by the defendant against First Peoples and acts by the defendant against First Peoples contrary to Our Law and contrary to international law including genocide, crimes of aggression and crimes against humanity.
3. A declaration that the only basis for the jurisdiction claimed by the State of Victoria is the said genocidal military invasion.
4. A declaration that First Peoples’ Sovereignty was never ceded.
5. An order that the defendant forthwith declare an end to hostilities and an end to the war against First Peoples.
6. An order that the defendant forthwith take all necessary steps to obtain an advisory opinion from the International Court of Justice as to whether Our Law governs all people within the purported boundaries asserted by the defendant and as to whether Our Lands belong to Us under Our Law.
7. An order that the defendant take all necessary measures to secure an investigation by the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court into genocide by the defendant and officers of the defendant against We First Peoples.

27. On 1 or 2 May 2024 (Registry record disputed) Prothonotary Rod Ratcliff labelled the said Writ an abuse of process and refused to file and seal the said Writ.

28. On 5 May 2024 Aunty Alma Thorpe and Uncle Robbie Thorpe lodged an Originating Motion for Judicial Review of the Prothonotary’s refusal on the following grounds:

1. Whether it is an abuse of process for the chief clerk of the supreme court of the State of Victoria to refuse to issue legal proceedings against the State of Victoria by We Elders, being undisputed victims of the undisputed genocide against Us and Our Peoples and all First Peoples, but the State of Victoria when the said State of Victoria with all our stolen resources is more than capable of defending itself in such a claim and needs no protection from liability by the chief clerk and may indeed admit liability and agree to the terms of the claim once issued and served.
2. Whether it is an abuse of process for the chief clerk of the supreme court of the State of Victoria, an undisputed genocidal kleptocracy that has stolen Our Lands and usurped Our Laws, to refuse to allow the truth of Our Claims to be established by evidence and law in a court of justice.
3. Whether it is beyond the power of any clerk of this court so deeply complicit in the genocide of First Peoples here to refuse to issue legal proceedings concerning the ongoing genocide against Us brought by Our Elders seeking to prevent any further genocide and to hold the State of Victoria responsible and accountable.
4. Whether the chief clerk took into account irrelevant considerations (such as the claimed immunity and impunity and of the State of Victoria for genocide) and failed to take into account relevant considerations (such as the ongoing genocide against First People here and the universal obligation to investigate, stop, prevent and punish such genocide).
5. Whether the chief clerk failed to take into account relevant considerations (such as Our unceded sovereignty and the absence of any document evidencing Our consent to the occupation of Our Lands and the usurpation of Our Law by the State of Victoria) and took into account irrelevant considerations (the exile or extermination of the exterminating State of Victoria).
6. Whether the chief clerk has a duty to stop and prevent the crime of genocide against Us.
7. Whether the chief clerk knowingly and deliberately and with intent to destroy Us failed in his duty to stop and prevent the crime of genocide against Us.
8. Whether the chief clerk is complicit in the crime of genocide against Us and should be so charged forthwith.
9. Whether Our Law governs all people and lands here in the absence of any treaty or agreement or other document evidencing our Consent to the usurpation of our law by anyone or any entity.
10. Whether under Our Law it is even possible for Us to consent to the usurpation of The Ancient Unchangeable Custodial Law Of This Land.
11. Whether the chief clerk can ignore the existence and jurisdiction of the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court.
12. Whether the chief clerk understands, accepts and acknowledges that the International Court of Justice can make decisions regarding the true sovereigns and true laws and true ownership of lands.
14. Whether the chief clerk understands, accepts and acknowledges that the International Criminal Court has jurisdiction to prosecute him for genocide since the State of Victoria is manifestly unwilling and unable to do so itself.
15. The chief clerk failed to consider the relevant fact that the State of Victoria had previously admitted that Our Sovereignty has never been ceded as set out in the statement of claim in the writ at paragraphs 4(b) 27 April 2023 (c) 18 April 2024 (d) 31 March 2023. Note that the State of Victoria continues to admit this fact e.g. on 29 April 2024 (elected leader Jacinta Allan representing State of Victoria).
16. The chief clerk deliberately refused to apply the legal significance of this fact to the document before him.
17. The chief clerk deliberately refused to accept that the State of Victoria had made the decision (repeatedly) to surrender and give up its war against Us First Peoples.
18. The chief clerk deliberately decided that the State of Victoria could not be “a nullity” when there are obviously other options for the State of Victoria including by necessity its passive continuance as necessarily authorised by Us First Peoples pending and including ongoing changes ordered by Us First Peoples as We begin to heal and sort out matters amongst Ourselves free of any interference or coercive control of any kind by the State of Victoria.

29. On 6 May 2024 the Registry marked the status of the said Originating Motion as “reviewing” (eFiling ID 407406) and this “reviewing” status is unchanged.

30. On Friday 24 May 2024 Uncle Robbie Thorpe re-submitted an identical copy of the same Originating Motion (eFiling ID 412230) which an hour later was marked “reviewing” status.

31. The day before, Thursday 23 May, Uncle Robbie Thorpe sought a hearing date from the Judicial Review listings lawyers for a Summons in Thorpe v MCV & AGV SECI2024/01011 to have the said Originating Motion, upon filing, be jointly listed for hearing on 19 July 2024 before the Chief Justice of Victoria.

32. The Summons also referred to the Charge-Sheet lodged by Uncle Robbie Thorpe on 17 April 2024 against an official propagandist for the State of Israel, Mark Regev, for advocacy for, and incitement of, genocide against the Palestinian People and requested that a foreshadowed Originating Motion for Judicial Review against MCV and AGV in that matter (a decision hoped to be imminent and urgently requested on Wednesday 22 May 2024 given the urgency of events in Gaza as recognised by the ICC Prosecutor’s Arrest Warrant on 20 May 2024 and the ICJ Genocide Order on 17 May 2024) be listed for joint hearing with the above two matters by the Chief Justice on 19 July 2024.

33. It is possible given their course of conduct in earlier instances in this litigation saga that the Supreme Court of Victoria Listings Lawyers will ignore the request for a hearing date for the said Summons and that the Supreme Court of Victoria Registry Reviewer will just maintain the “reviewing” status indefinitely for the said Originating Motion.

34. Uncle Robbie Thorpe now seeks a hearing before a bench of five judges of the Federal Court to overrule previous case law and/or provide a basis for the jurisdiction of the Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court here because Australia is unwilling and unable to investigate and prosecute genocide offences against Us. The hearing and rulings may provide a basis for UN Member States to bring a case in the International Court of Justice against Australia if Australia will not seek its own Advisory Opinion from the Court

PART E. CURRENT STATUS OF THIS NOTICE

34. On 24 May 2024 Registry officers referred the proposed proceedings to a Registrar.

35. On 27 May 2024 at about 9.30am Uncle Robbie Thorpe will attend at Registry to sign a statutory declaration of service of this notice and attempt to affirm an affidavit of service of this notice in these proposed proceedings (draft copies attached) and lodge for filing a copy of this Notice of a Constitutional Matter.

Date: 27 May 2024 at 8.30am



Signed by Prospective Applicant at CAMP SOVEREIGNTY

Commonwealth of Australia
STATUTORY DECLARATION
Statutory Declarations Act 1959

- 1 *Insert the name, address and occupation of person making the declaration* I,¹ Uncle Robbie Thorpe, CAMP SOVEREIGNTY, "Kings Domain", "Melbourne", "VIC 3004", Truth-Teller, Fire-Keeper and Elder
make the following declaration under section 9 of the *Statutory Declarations Act 1959*:
- 2 *Set out matter declared to in numbered paragraphs* 2 1. I refer to my originating application and statement of claim in proposed legal proceedings titled Uncle Robbie Thorpe, applicant, The Honourable Mark Dreyfus, respondent, and numbered VID___ of 2024, lodged at about 9.40am on Friday 24 May 2024 at the Registry, Level 7, Commonwealth Law Courts Building, 305 William St Melbourne with Grace Issac and Cooper Wenck (Client Services Officers, Court and Tribunal Services, Federal Court of Australia) and allocated lodgement number 1323946 and reallocated lodgement number 1323946 at 11.09am.
2. On Monday 27 May 2024 I sent the attached email to the Attorneys-General of Commonwealth of Australia and the States and Territories containing a notice of a matter arising under the Constitution or involving its interpretation.
3. On the same day I attended at the said Registry to lodge a copy of the said notice and affirm an affidavit in the proceedings as well as this statutory declaration.
- I believe that the statements in this declaration are true in every particular, and I understand that a person who intentionally makes a false statement in a statutory declaration is guilty of an offence under section 11 of the *Statutory Declarations Act 1959*, the punishment for which is imprisonment for a term of 4 years.
- 3 *Signature of person making the declaration* 3
- 4 *Email address or telephone number of person making the declaration* 4 bunjilfire@gmail.com
0422 200 696
- 5 *Place* Declared at 5 Melbourne on 6 Monday 27 of 7 May 2024
6 *Day*
7 *Month and year*
- 8 *Signature of person observing the declaration being made* 8
- 9 *Full name, qualification and address of person observing the declaration being made* 9
- 10 *Email address and/or telephone number of person observing the declaration being made* 10

Certificate.

This is a true copy of the email referred to in paragraph 2 of the statutory declaration of Uncle Robbie Thorpe made on Monday 27 May 2024 at "Melbourne" before me:

signedUncle Robbie Thorpe

signed Person Observing The Declaration Being Made.

Form 59
Rule 29.02(1)

Affidavit

No. VID of 2024

Lodgement ID 1323946, 24.5.24

Federal Court of Australia

District Registry: Victoria

Division: Human Rights / Other Federal Jurisdiction

Uncle Robbie Thorpe
PROPOSED Applicant

The Honourable Mark Dreyfus
PROPOSED Respondent

Affidavit of: Uncle Robbie Thorpe

Address: Camp Sovereignty, “Kings Domain”, Melbourne VIC 3004

Occupation: Truth-Teller, Fire-Keeper and Elder

Date: Monday 27 May 2024

I, Uncle Robbie Thorpe, Truth-Teller Fire-Keeper and Elder, of Camp Sovereignty, “Kings Domain” near “Government House” and Shrine of Remembrance, affirm:

1. I refer to my originating application and statement of claim in proposed legal proceedings titled Uncle Robbie Thorpe, applicant, The Honourable Mark Dreyfus, respondent, and numbered VID___ of 2024, lodged at about 9.40am on Friday 24 May 2024 at the Registry, Level 7, Commonwealth Law Courts Building, 305 William St Melbourne with Grace Issac and Cooper Wenck (Client Services Officers, Court and Tribunal Services, Federal Court of Australia) and allocated lodgement number 1323946 and reallocated lodgement number 1323946 at 11.09am.

2. On Monday 27 May 2024 I sent an email to the Attorneys-General of Commonwealth of Australia and the States and Territories containing a notice of a matter arising under the Constitution or involving its interpretation. Now produced and shown to me and marked Annexure “URT 1” is a true copy of the said email.

Filed on behalf of Uncle Robbie Thorpe, proposed applicant.

Prepared by Uncle Robbie Thorpe.

Mobile 0422 200 696

Email bunjilfire@gmail.com

Address for service: Camp Sovereignty, “Kings Domain”, Melbourne VIC 3004

3. On the same day I attended at the said Registry to lodge a copy of the said notice and affirm this affidavit as well as making a substantially identical statutory declaration.

Affirmed by the deponent)
at "Melbourne")
in "The state of Victoria")
on 27 May 2024)

Before me:

Form 59
Rule 29.02(1)

Annexure to Affidavit

No. VID of 2024

Lodgement ID 1323946, 24.5.24

Federal Court of Australia

District Registry: Victoria

Division: Human Rights / Other Federal Jurisdiction

Uncle Robbie Thorpe
PROPOSED Applicant

The Honourable Mark Dreyfus
PROPOSED Respondent

Annexure “URT 1”

This is the copy of the email marked Annexure “URT 1” referred to in paragraph 2 on page 1 of the affidavit of Uncle Robbie Thorpe affirmed Monday 27 May 2024.

.....

.....

Filed on behalf of Uncle Robbie Thorpe, proposed applicant.
Prepared by Uncle Robbie Thorpe.
Mobile 0422 200 696
Email bunjilfire@gmail.com
Address for service: Camp Sovereignty, “Kings Domain”, Melbourne VIC 3004