

## NOTICE OF FILING AND HEARING

### Filing and Hearing Details

Document Lodged: Originating Application for Judicial Review - Form 66 - Rule 31.01(1)  
Court of Filing: FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA (FCA)  
Date of Lodgment: 14/05/2025 10:31:00 AM AEST  
Date Accepted for Filing: 19/05/2025 11:09:21 AM AEST  
File Number: VID621/2025  
File Title: UNCLE ROBBIE THORPE v NATIONAL REGISTRAR THOMAS STEWART  
Registry: VICTORIA REGISTRY - FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA  
Reason for Listing: To Be Advised  
Time and date for hearing: To Be Advised  
Place: To Be Advised



*Sia Lagos*

Registrar

### Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the first page of the document which has been accepted for electronic filing. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties.

The date of the filing of the document is determined pursuant to the Court's Rules.



Form 66, 69  
Rule 31.01(1), 31.11, 31.12(1)

**Originating Application for judicial review**

No. VID\_\_\_ of 2025

Federal Court of Australia

District Registry: Victoria

Division: Human Rights / Other Federal Jurisdiction

**Uncle Robbie Thorpe**

Applicant

**National Registrar Thomas Stewart**

Respondent

To the Respondent

The Applicant applies for the relief set out in this application.

The Court will hear this application, or make orders for the conduct of the proceeding, at the time and place stated below. If you or your lawyer do not attend, then the Court may make orders in your absence.

You must file a notice of address for service (Form 10) in the Registry before attending Court or taking any other steps in the proceeding.

---

**Time and date for hearing:**

**Place:** 305 William St, Melbourne

---

Date:

.....

Signed by an officer acting with the authority of the District Registrar.

---

Filed on behalf of Uncle Robbie Thorpe, applicant.

Prepared by Uncle Robbie Thorpe.

Mobile 0422 200 696

Email [bunjilfire@gmail.com](mailto:bunjilfire@gmail.com)

Address for service: Camp Sovereignty, "Kings Domain", Melbourne VIC 3004.



The applicant applies to the Court to review the decision of the Respondent, National Registrar Thomas Stewart, made on Wednesday 16 April 2025 to reject for filing the originating application for judicial review, interlocutory application and affidavit of Uncle Robbie Thorpe affirmed 11 April 2025.

A statement of the terms of the decision accompanies this originating application as required by rule 31.03 of the Rules.

### **Details of Claim**

The applicant is aggrieved by the decision because the applicant has an immediate right to confirmation, and the respondent an immediate duty to confirm, that an email concerning systemic racism and complicity in Aboriginal genocide has been forwarded as requested to the Principal Registrar and Chief Justice whose public email addresses are Vic Federal Court Registry E-mail <E-mail.Victoria@fedcourt.gov.au>

### **Grounds of application**

Section 11(3) of the *Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977 (Cth)* provides that the prescribed period for making an application for an order of review is the period commencing on the day of which the decision was made and ending on the twenty-eighth day after.

The respondent did not act fairly, was in breach of natural justice, made errors of law, misunderstood the law, applied the law incorrectly and failed to consider and to take into account relevant factors as appear in the documents exhibited to the applicant's supporting affidavit affirmed 14 May 2025.

### **Orders sought**

1. An order that Nicholas Gunn forthwith confirm that a copy of the email from Uncle Robbie Thorpe at 4.56pm on 1 April 2025 has been forwarded to associate to Justice McEvoy, Principal Registrar Lagos and associate to Chief Justice Mortimer.
2. An order that Nicholas Gunn provide Uncle Robbie Thorpe with a direct email for CEO Lagos.
3. An order that Nicholas Gunn inform Uncle Robbie Thorpe of what happened to his communications to CEO Lagos and CJ Mortimer about Complicity in Genocide in Federal Court of Australia as set out in his affidavits on the court file in VID589/24

### **Claim for interlocutory relief**

The applicant also claims interlocutory relief.

1. An order that Nicholas Gunn forthwith forward a copy of the email from Uncle Robbie Thorpe at 4.56pm on 1 April 2025 to associate to Justice McEvoy, Principal Registrar Lagos and associate to Chief Justice Mortimer.

Applicant's address



The Applicant's address for service is:

Place: Camp Sovereignty, "Kings Domain", Melbourne VIC 3004.

Email: bunjilfire@gmail.com

The Applicant's address is Camp Sovereignty, "Kings Domain", Melbourne VIC 3004.

Service on the Respondent

It is intended to serve this application on the Respondent.

Date: 14 May 2024

Uncle Robbie Thorp

Applicant



# FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA



16 April 2025

Uncle Robbie Thorpe

By email only: bunjilfire@gmail.com

Dear Uncle Robbie Thorpe,

**Re: Documents presented for filing on 11 April 2025**

I refer to the Originating Application, Interlocutory Application and Affidavit (collectively, the **Documents**) submitted to the Victoria Registry of the Federal Court of Australia on 11 April 2025. The Documents have been referred to me, as National Duty Registrar, for review and determination as to whether it should be accepted for filing.

Rule 2.26 of the *Federal Court Rules 2011 (Rules)* states that:

*A Registrar may refuse to accept a document (including a document that would, if accepted, become an originating application) if the Registrar is satisfied that the document is an abuse of the process of the Court, or is frivolous or vexatious:*

*(a) on the face of the document; or*

*(b) by reference to any documents already filed or submitted for filing with the document.*

An application to the Court will be considered 'vexatious' or 'frivolous' if, amongst other things, it is intended to vex, it is not brought *bona fide*, or if it is 'without substance, groundless, or fanciful': *Ferdinands v Registrar Cridland* [2021] FCA 592 at [30].



The Documents seek relief pursuant to either of the *Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act 1977* (Cth) (**ADJR Act**) or *Judiciary Act 1903* (Cth) against a purported decision of a Registry officer of the Victoria Registry of the Federal Court 'not to confirm to [the Applicant] that a copy of the email from [the Applicant] at 4:56pm on 1 April 2025 has been forwarded to associate to Justice McEvoy, Principal Registrar Lagos and associate to Chief Justice Mortimer.' The Documents were accompanied by a copy of your email sent 1 April 2025 and the autoreply you received from the Registry inbox advising that the 1 April email had been received. As you may be aware, the Federal Court operates a docket judge system and once a proceeding is allocated to the docket of a judge, it is a matter for that judge how the matter proceeds. The email in question was sent by you in response to questions posed by the chambers of the docket judge, Justice McEvoy, about the future conduct of proceeding VID 589 of 2024 (**Judicial Review Proceeding**). The email was specifically in relation to the future conduct of the Judicial Review Proceeding. As I understand it, that proceeding remains for determination by the docket judge. Noting my earlier comments about the operation of the docket judge system it is unclear how the progression of the Judicial Review Proceeding is directly relevant to Principal Registrar Lagos or to the chambers of the Chief Justice. The future conduct of the Judicial Review Proceedings is in the hands of Justice McEvoy and will no doubt be undertaken with reference to the information supplied in your 1 April reply.

In the circumstances set out above, seeking the issue of a prerogative writ against a Registry officer of the Court to reply to you confirming that your email has been forwarded to the chambers of Justice McEvoy is without substance and fanciful. I am satisfied on the face of the Documents that they are frivolous or vexatious and I refuse to accept them pursuant to r 2.26 of the Rules.

I recommend you seek legal advice on the matters raised in your documents.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thomas Stewart', is positioned above the typed name.

Thomas Stewart  
National Registrar